**Comments of the Scenarios Work Group (SWG) on the Committee Categorization Questionnaire**

**and the Technical Committee Categorization Process document**

1. **Subcommittee and Task Force members participating in the discussion of this process expressed confusion about the intended focus; specifically, there was debate across participants as to the application of this exercise to all existing WECC working groups and committees/subcommittees versus being created as a template for only future work not already falling under an organized RAC group. To ensure that its input is meaningful, SWG provides comments on both questions.**

1. **Any review of on-going work should refer to the relevant history of that group in sufficient detail and should only revisit the group’s purpose consistent with WECC needs or needs to streamline, instances of an extinction of that group purpose or another similar impetus. SWG considers these thresholds to be substantial and not anything that would be initiated on any customary or routine basis.**
2. **What the RAC subcommittees and working groups last discussed before adjourning in-person meetings due to COVID was a need for better engagement across the entirety of groups; the Questionnaire instead seeks to examine groups on a stand-alone basis. SWG continues to first support a prioritization of better coordination across all working groups with only an examination of efficiencies and continuing relevance thereafter.**
3. **Review of new work proposals that are outside the scope of any existing work organization (Committee, Sub-committee, Work Group) should be completed consistent with the flow chart and other detail set out in the Categorization document.**